

6516209320.txt

It is not fair to tell the XM broadcaster what they can or cannot put on their station as long as it is of reasonable content. They present a different type of broadcasting than what traditional broadcasters are putting out there. Don't penalize XM because they thought of something the others didn't. That is what breeds competition and makes for a better product for the consumer. My radio listening habits are this: when in my local area I listen to local radio. They have better coverage of local events, weather, traffic, etc. They refer to roads/intersections better than the XM stations. Plus they are what I am used to. I listen to XM when I am travelling. That to me is kind of the point of coast to coast coverage. Plus if you listen to the call in shows on XM you will hear a lot of Over the Road drivers on them. That is when I use the XM traffic and weather. When I am not familiar with the local roads and areas, XM gives me the general information that I am after. Plus in an unfamiliar area, I don't know if the station I am listening to gives frequent traffic and weather updates. So if I switch to a different station, how am I to know if it does either. XM gives me one location to look for the information I am after and delivers it in a timely manner. XM is not a threat to traditional broadcasters in my opinion. They appeal to different types of listeners. Plus XM is a pay service. I have the right to purchase a product if it is better suited to me. If the other doesn't like that then they need to step up and offer a better service.